# **Muon Physics:** An Introduction

Lee Roberts Department of Physics Boston University

roberts @bu.edu

### http://g2pc1.bu.edu/~roberts



B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

- p. 1/11

# Muon first observed in cosmic rays in 1933



# Paul Kunze, Z. Phys. 83, 1 (1933)

## "a particle of uncertain nature"





# Identified in 1936





Study of cosmic rays by **Seth Neddermeyer and Carl Anderson** 



MAY 15, 1937

### PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 51

### Note on the Nature of Cosmic-Ray Particles

SETH H. NEDDERMEYER AND CARL D. ANDERSON California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received March 30, 1937)

particles occurring in the cosmic-ray showers have shown that this loss is proportional

EASUREMENTS<sup>1</sup> of the energy loss of massive than protons but more penetrating than electrons obeying the Bethe-Heitler theory, we have taken about 6000 counter-tripped photo-



B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

### Confirmed by: Street & Stevenson, Nishina, Tekeuchi & Ichimiya

NOVEMBER 1, 1937

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 52

### LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Prompt publication of brief reports of important discoveries in physics may be secured by addressing them to this department. Closing dates for this department are, for the first issue of the month, the eighteenth of the preceding month, for the second issue, the third of the month. Because of the late closing dates for the section no proof can be shown to authors. The Board of Editors does not hold itself responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents.

Communications should not in general exceed 600 words in length.

### New Evidence for the Existence of a Particle of Mass Intermediate Between the Proton and Electron

Anderson and Neddermyer<sup>1</sup> have shown that, for energies up to 300 and 400 Mev, the cosmic-ray shower particles between those of the proton and electron. If this is true, it should be possible to distinguish clearly such a particle from an electron or proton by observing its track density and magnetic deflection near the end of its range, although it is to be expected that the fraction of the total range in

J. C. STREET E. C. STEVENSON

Research Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, October 6, 1937.

<sup>1</sup> Anderson and Neddermeyer, Phys. Rev. **50**, 263 (1936). <sup>2</sup> Street and Stevenson, Phys. Rev. **51**, 1005 (1937).

**DECEMBER 1, 1937** 

### PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 52

### On the Nature of Cosmic-Ray Particles

Y. NISHINA, M. TAKEUCHI, AND T. ICHIMIYA Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Tokyo

(Received August 28, 1937)

UNIVERSITY

B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

- p. 4/11

It took 10 years to conclude that the muon interacted too weakly with matter to be the "Yukawa" particle which was postulated to carry the nuclear force





B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

# Properties of the Muon

- Lifetime ~2.2  $\mu \text{s},$  practically forever
- $m_{\mu}/m_{e}$  = 206.768 277(24)
- produced polarized
  - in-flight decay: both "forward" and "backward" muons are highly polarized





B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008



# Death of the Muon

Decay is self analyzing



- The highest energy  $e^{\pm}$  from  $\mu^{\pm}$  decay carry information in the spin direction.



# First muon spin rotation experiment



electrons in (2) should serve as an analyzer for the muon polarization. They also point out that the longitudinal close wound directly on the carbon to provide a uniform field of 79 gauss per ampere.

### Accurate Determination of the $u^+$ Magnetic Moment<sup>\*</sup>

R. L. GARWIN,<sup>†</sup> D. P. HUTCHINSON, S. PENMAN,<sup>‡</sup> AND G. SHAPIRO§ Columbia University, New York, New York (Received August 4, 1959)

Note added in proof.—Experiments which have recently been reported to us [J. Lathrop, et al. and A. Bearden et al., Phys. Rev. Letters (to be published)] indicate a mass value of  $M_{\mu} = 206.76_{-0.02}^{+0.03}M_e$ . This yields a value of  $g_{\mu} = 2(1.00113_{-0.00012}^{+0.00016})$ . Although the assigned errors are now slightly greater than above, it is to be noted that the new result represents a direct measurement, rather than a lower limit. The agreement

 $a = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} = 0.001161$ 

### The muon behaves like a heavy electron!



- p. 9/11

## The Muon Trio:

• Muon Magnetic Dipole Moment  $a_{\mu}$  chiral changing



• Muon EDM  $\bar{u}_{\mu} \left[ \frac{ie}{2m_{\mu}} f_2(q^2) - f g(\overline{q^2}) \frac{(g-2)}{\gamma_5} g_{\beta\delta} q^{\nu} u_{\mu} \right]$  $f_2(0) = a_{\mu} \quad f_3(0) = d_{\mu}; \text{ EDM}$ 





B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:N. 00, 0 march 20

# The Muon Trio:

• Muon Magnetic Dipole Momoment  $a_{\mu}$  chiral changing

$$\overline{u}_{\mu}[ef_1(q^2)\gamma_{\beta} + \frac{ie}{2m_{\mu}}f_2(q^2)\sigma_{\beta\delta}q^{\delta}]u_{\mu}$$
$$f_1(0) = 1 \quad f_2(0) = a_{\mu}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \text{Muon EDM} \\ & \bar{u}_{\mu} \left[ \frac{ie}{2m_{\mu}} f_2(q^2) - f_3(q^2) \gamma_5 \right] \sigma_{\beta\delta} q^{\nu} u_{\mu} \\ & f_2(0) = a_{\mu} \quad f_3(0) = d_{\mu}; \text{ EDM} \\ \end{array} \\ & \text{\cdot Lepton Flavor Violation} \quad \qquad \mu^- A \to e^- A \end{array}$$



B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

 $\mu^+ \to e^+ \gamma$ 

 $+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+$ 



# The First $\mu$ -N $\rightarrow$ e-N Experiment Steinberger and Wolf

- μ μ
- After the discovery of the muon, it was realized it could decay into an electron and a photon or convert to an electron in the field of a nucleus.
- Without any flavor conservation, the expected branching fraction for  $\mu + \rightarrow e + \gamma$  is about 10<sup>-5</sup>.
- Steinberger and Wolf CARBON ABSORBER looked for  $\mu$ -N  $\rightarrow$  e-N BEAM DEFINING TO DEGRADE BEAM ENERGY BEA COUNTERS for the first time, publishing a null result in 1955, with a limit LEAD AND IRON SHIELD  $R_{ue} < 2 \times 10^{-4}$ Absorbs efrom  $\mu^-$  decay Conversion ---- POLYETHYLENE ABSORBER e- reach this DETECTOR COUNTERS TARGET counter FIG. 1. Experimental arrangement.



B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

# Past and Future of LFV Limits



13/11

### SUSY connection between MDM, EDM and the lepton flavor violating transition moment C $SUSY \implies$ slepton mixing MDM, EDM $\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{e}$ $\widetilde{\mu}$ μ Ũ ĩ μ μ e Ĩ B $\Delta m^2_{\tilde{e}\tilde{ au}}$ $\Delta m^2_{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{ au}}$ $m^2$ $m_{ ilde{e} ilde{e}}$ $\Delta m$ m



B. L. Roberts, Muon Physics Working Group:NP08, 6 March 2008

- p. 14/11

- What have we learned from the muon thus far: The strength of the weak interaction - i.e. the Fermi constant  $G_F$  (more properly  $G_{\mu}$ )
  - The V- A nature of the weak interaction
  - Lepton flavor conservation in  $\mu$ -decay
  - VEV of the Higgs field:  $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2v^2}$
  - Induced form-factors in nuclear  $\mu$ -capture especially the induced pseudoscalar coupling
  - Possible evidence of new physics in  $a_{\mu}$

