Physics beyond the SM in Kaon decays --Theory--

> Yasuhiro Okada (KEK/Sokendai) March 6, 2008 NP08, Mito, Japan

Kaon as a basis of the SM

 Kaon played a very important role to find out flavor structure of particle physics

Suppression of Flavor Changing Neutral Current Processes => GIM mechanism => Charm quark

CP violation in K decays

- => Kobayashi-Maskawa theory
- => Three generation structure

These are basis of the Standard Model

# Status of quark flavor physics

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix works perfectly.



# K vs. B in the SM

Flavor signals and CP violation are quite different.

This is in accordance with the pattern predicted in the Standard Model.

### Flavor in the era of LHC

- The LHC experiment will provide the first direct look at physics at Terascale.
- Terascale is the scale of electroweak symmetry breaking, where we expect a new force/new symmetry.
- Flavor should be closely related to the Higgs sector, so that new physics may have a new flavor structure.
- Considering current experimental constraints, it is likely that new physics effects are less than O(1) of the SM contribution in quark flavor observables.
- Correlations among B,D,K, τ and μ flavor signals are important to understand flavor structure of new physics.

# Rare Kaon decays

- Rare dacays
  - $K_{L} > \pi v v$
  - $K^+ \rightarrow \pi v v$
- "Null" test
  - T violation in K-> $\pi\mu\nu$
- Lepton universality test
   B(K->µv)/B(K->ev)
- LFV
  - K->μe, πμe

 $K_{I} \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu, K^{+} \rightarrow \pi \nu \nu$ 

Theoretically clean processes

$$H = C(\bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu d_L)(\bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \nu_L)$$

The relevant form factor is obtained by K-> $\pi e_{\nu}$ . Completely short distance dominated. Top loop dominated (+ charm loop for K+ decay) A few % theoretical uncertainty.



## K-> $\pi v v$ in the SM

Both  $K_L -> \pi v v$  and  $K^+ -> \pi v v$  are theoretically under control.

$$\mathcal{B}(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = (2.29 \pm 0.03) \left(\frac{\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X\right)^2 \times 10^{-10}$$
$$\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (5.26 \pm 0.06) \left[ \left(\frac{\mathrm{Im}\lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{Re}\lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X + \frac{\mathrm{Re}\lambda_c}{\lambda} \left(P_c + \delta P_c\right)\right)^2 \right] \times 10^{-11}$$

Top contribution  $X = 1.464 \pm 0.041$ Charm contribution  $P_c = \begin{cases} 0.369 \pm 0.036_{\text{theory}} \pm 0.033_{m_c} \pm 0.009_{\alpha_s}, & \text{NLO}, \\ 0.375 \pm 0.009_{\text{theory}} \pm 0.031_{m_c} \pm 0.009_{\alpha_s}, & \text{NNLO} \end{cases}$ Sub-leading contribution  $\delta P_c = 0.04 \pm 0.02$   $\lambda_q = V_{qs}^* V_{qd}$ From Ulich Haisch hep-ph/060517

A few % theoretical errors for both processes.

# Grossman-Nir bound (1997)

 Since the two processes are determined by the imaginary part and the absolute value of the same coupling, a simple model-independent bound is obtained.

$$B(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) < 4.4 B(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$$

Present experimental bounds

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) & B(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) \\ (1.47^{+1.30}_{-0.89}) \cdot 10^{-10} & < 2.1 \cdot 10^{-7} \\ & & \text{KEK E391a} \end{array}$$

The GN bound can be violated if lepton flavor violation exists.

# New physics examples

#### Supersymmetry

- SUSY models introduce SUSY partners.
- Squark/sleption mass matrixes are new sources of flavor mixing and CP violation.
- Squarks up to ~3 TeV will be searched for at LHC.
- Flavor signals depends on how the off-diagonal terms are generated.

The K-K mixing is still one of the most strong phenomenological constraints for the SUSY model building.

$$(m_{\tilde{q}}^2)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11}^2 & m_{12}^2 & m_{13}^2 \\ m_{21}^2 & m_{22}^2 & m_{23}^2 \\ m_{31}^2 & m_{32}^2 & m_{33}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- In SUSY models, SUSY particle and charged Higgs loops contribute to B(K->πνν)
- The size of the SUSY contributions depends on a SUSY breaking scenario.



mSUGRA-type model



### Little Higgs model with T parity

•Little Higgs model : a model with a composite Higgs boson.

N.Arkani-Hamed,A.G.Cohen, E.Katz,and A.E.Nelson,2002 •New particles (heavy gauge bosons, a heavy top partner) are introduced to cancel the quadratic divergence of the Higgs mass at one loop level.

•The mass of these particles are around 1 TeV if the model is extended with "T parity". C.H.Cheng and I.Low,2003 Particle content of the littlest Higgs model with T parity.

~10 TeV, new strong dynamics

~ 1TeV

 $W_{H}, Z_{H}, \phi_{ij}, T+, T- u_{H,}d_{H}$ 

~200 GeV A<sub>H</sub>

A Higgs boson and SM particles

T-odd SU(2) doublet mirror fermions => A new flavor mixing matrix



Large effects on K-> $\pi\nu\nu$  are possible.

## T violation in K decays

$$K^+ \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu$$
$$K^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_\mu$$

T-odd triple vector product

$$P_{\perp} = \frac{s_{\mu} \cdot (p_{\pi(\gamma)} \times p_{\mu})}{|p_{\pi(\gamma)} \times p_{\mu}|}$$

A window to new physics.

Small contribution from the KM phase

$$0(10^{-7})$$
 for  $K^+ \to \pi^0 \mu \nu$ 

Small and calculable effects of QED final state interaction  $0(10^{-6})$  for  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu$  $0(10^{-4})$  for  $K^+ \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \nu$ 



Effective four fermion interaction

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\theta_C \overline{s} \overline{\gamma}_{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_5) u \overline{\nu} \overline{\gamma}^{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu \\ &+ G_S \overline{s} \overline{u} \overline{\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) \mu + G_P \overline{s} \overline{\gamma}_5 u \overline{\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) \mu \\ &+ G_V \overline{s} \overline{\gamma}_{\alpha} u \overline{\nu} \overline{\gamma}^{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu + G_A \overline{s} \overline{\gamma}_{\alpha} \gamma_5 u \overline{\nu} \overline{\gamma}^{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_5) \mu \\ &+ \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned}$$

The transverse polarization needs interference between the SM four fermion term and new contributions and a relative phase between them.

$$P_{\perp}(K^+ \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}) : G_S$$
  

$$P_{\perp}(K^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}) : G_P, \ G_R = (G_V + G_A)/2$$
  

$$G_L = (G_V - G_A)/2 \text{ does not contribute to } P_{\perp}$$
  
at the first order.

# New physics examples

- The multi-Higgs model is a simplest model with a possible transverse muon polarization by the charged Higgs boson exchange.
- If the tree-level neutral Higgs boson FCNC is forbidden by a discrete symmetry, more than three Higgs doublets are necessary to induce the transverse polarization.
- SUSY with a large squark flavor mixing or SUSY without R-parity could induce the transverse polarization of O(10<sup>-3</sup>).
- This process is particularly important if we find a charged Higgs at LHC, but not find SUSY.

#### Three Higgs doublet model

Yukawa couplings

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{q}_L y_d d_R H_d + \overline{q}_L y_u u_R \widetilde{H}_u + \overline{l} y_e e_R H_l + \text{h.c.}$$

Charged Higgs boson mixing

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{H_d^+}{v_1} \\ \frac{H_u^+}{v_2} \\ \frac{H_e^+}{v_3} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{v} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ 1 & -\beta_1 & -\beta_2 \\ 1 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ H_1^+ \\ H_2^+ \end{pmatrix},$$

Charged Higgs boson coupling

Keeping only the lighter charged Higgs contribution,

$$< P_{\perp}(K^+ \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}) > \sim -0.3m_K^2 \frac{Im\gamma_1 \alpha^*}{m_{H_1}^2}$$
$$< P_{\perp}(K^+ \to \gamma \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}) > \sim -0.1m_K^2 \frac{Im\gamma_1 \alpha^*}{m_{H_1}^2}$$

Present constraint from  $B(B \rightarrow \tau v)$  at B factory experiments

$$|P_{\perp:\pi^0}| < 0.005$$

In future, LHC direct charged Higgs search or B->  $\tau v$  and B->  $D\tau v$  measurements at Super B can put a constraint like

$$|P_{\perp:\pi^0}| < 3 \times 10^{-4}$$

Present KEK-E246 limit.

$$|P_{\perp:\pi^0}| < 0.0050$$
 (90%CL) E246 exp

 $B(K \rightarrow ev)/B(K \rightarrow \mu v)$ 

This ratio can be different from the SM if there is a slepton flavor mixing in the SUSY model. This is due to flavor changing charged Higgs coupling.



From G.Isidori, KAON 2007 summary,

# LFV K decays

Current bounds

$BR(K_L^0 \to \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 4.7 \times 10^{-12}$	LFV
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ e^-) < 2.8 \times 10^{-11}$	LFV
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^- e^+) < 5.2 \times 10^{-10}$	LFV
$BR(K_L^0 \to \pi^0 \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 3.1 \times 10^{-9}$	LFV
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ e^+) < 5.0 \times 10^{-10}$	LNV
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^- e^+ e^+) < 6.4 \times 10^{-10}$	LNV
$BR(K^+ \to \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^+) < 3.0 \times 10^{-9}$	LNV

From A.Belyaev, et al, hep-ph/0008276



A.Belyaev, et al, hep-ph/0008276

# Conclusions

- K<sub>L</sub>->πνν and K<sup>+</sup>->πνν processes are unique among quark flavor signals in terms of theoretical cleanness. Goals of the branching ratio measurements should be less than 10 % of the SM prediction in order to match expected improvements in B physics in the coming years.
- T violation in K+-> π<sup>0</sup>µν and K+-> γµν is important processes to look for CP violation in the Higgs sector, especially when it turns out to be not of the SM type nor of the MSSM type.